



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
P.O BOX 1022  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 16 2009**

In re Patent No. 7,482,355 : **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**  
Issued: January 27, 2009 :  
Application No. 10/525,495 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
Filed: February 23, 2005 :  
Dkt. No.: 06275-443US1 100817-1P US :

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d)," filed March 27, 2009. Patentees request correction of the patent term adjustment from 341 days to 679 days. Patentees requested this correction on the sole basis that the Office took in excess of three years to issue the above-referenced patent.

The application for patent term adjustment (PTA) under 37 CFR 1.705(d) is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application matured into U.S. Pat. No. 7,482,355 on January 27, 2009. The patent issued with a patent term adjustment of 341 days. The instant application for patent term adjustment was timely filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.705(d). Applicants argued that in view of *Wyeth v. Dudas*, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008), the patent was entitled to an adjustment of 679 days (529 days pursuant to 35 USC 154(b)(1)(A) *plus* 338 days pursuant to 35 USC 154(b)(1)(B) *less* 188 days applicant delay).

Under 37 CFR 1.703(f), patentees are entitled to a period of patent term adjustment equal to the period of delays based on the grounds set forth in 37 CFR 1.702 reduced by the period of time equal to the period of time during which patentees failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704. In other words, patentees are entitled to the period of Office delay reduced by the period of applicant delay.

The Office asserts that as of the issuance of the patent on January 27, 2009, the application was pending three years and 338 days after national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application. The Office agrees that certain action was not taken within the specified time frame, and thus, the adjustment of 529 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) is correct. At issue is whether patentees should accrue 338 days of patent term adjustment for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent, as well as 529 days for Office failure to take a certain action within a specified time frame (or examination delay).

The Office contends that the period of 338 days of delay in issuance of the patent under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlaps with the period of 529 days of examination delay under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1). Patentees' calculation of the period of overlap is inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of this provision. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

To the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)*<sup>1</sup> and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 54366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after national stage commenced, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay “overlap” under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in

---

<sup>1</sup> Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

corresponding §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay “overlap” under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office beginning on the date that national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, February 24, 2005, and ending on the date that the patent issued, January 27, 2009.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), the application was pending 14 months and 529 days prior to the issuance of the non-final Office action on October 4, 2007. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), the application was pending three years and 338 days prior to the issuance of the patent on May 5, 2009.

The 338 days of delay in issuance of the patent under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 529 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a). Entry of both the 338 days and the 529 days is neither permitted nor warranted given that 529 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed.

Accordingly, at issuance, having considered the 338 days of Office delay under the three-year pendency provision in conjunction with the 529 days of examination delay, reduced 188 days for applicant delay, the Office properly entered 341 days of patent term adjustment.

In view thereof, no adjustment to the patent term will be made.

Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the required patent term adjustment application fee of \$200.00.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.



Alesia M. Brown  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions